M.C.C./ Application (P.O.D.) for extention of time.

Arising out of the order dt. 03/08/06 in C.R. No. 1655/98 Passed by Hon'ble Shri Sunil Kumar Sinha J.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

Single Bench

मामला क्रमांक MCC 02 सन् 2007

M.J. Haque विरुद्ध Abdul Halim Khan & Ors

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
		PR 06/07 Petition of MCC 107 Presented on 02/01/07 With Office Reference by Shri It be placed fixed for

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक M.C.C.No.02/..... **सन् 2007**

6

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	12.1.2007 This is an office reference made on PUD dated 11th Dec.2006. The PUD is a memo sent by the First Additional District Judge Bilaspur, by which, he has prayed for further two months time to complete the proceedings of M.J.C. No. 5/91. A perusal of the record would show that by order dated 3/8/2006 passed in Civil Revision No. 1655/1998 (M.J. Haque Vs Abdul Halim Khan and others) this Court has directed for concluding the proceedings of the matter, after appointment of Commissioner etc., within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of communication of that order by the concerned Court. The PUD would show that this order was received by the concerned Court on 21/8/2006, but the original records were received by the said Court on 28/10/2006. Thereafter, the writ of commission was issued on 20/11/2006 and the Commissioner's report was received on 1/12/2006. After receiving the Commissioner's report, the parties took time to go through the report which was granted and the matter was fixed on 11/12/2006 for evidence of Commissioner, but on account of certain condolence meeting, the	

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(3)

मामला क्रमांक M.C.C. No. 02/.. **सन् 2007**

(7)

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

evidence could not be recorded on the said date. It is further stated in PUD that thereafter the Presiding Officer proposed himself to be on leave and ultimately he was called by High Court for looking classification work, therefore the hearing could not be expedited in the period of 4 months. Showing all these, the Presiding Officer has prayed for further 2 months time to expedite the hearing.

The prayer appears to be reasonable.

Considering the facts and circumstances of this case, 2 months time as prayed, is granted from the date of PUD i.e. 11/12/2006, for concluding the proceedings of the case according to the directions issued in Civil Revision No. 1655/1998.

The time is extended as above. Accordingly the office reference made under M.C.C. No. 2/2007 stands disposed of.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

Rao

P.T.O.